May 27, 2004

Mr. Matthew C.G. Boyle Boyle & Lowry 4201 Wingren, Suite 108 Irving, Texas 75062-2763

OR2004-4364

Dear Mr. Boyle:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 202503.

The City of Grapevine Police Department (the "department"), which you represent, received two requests for a specified incident report, including all supplements. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, and 552.130 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that the submitted information contains what appears to be a grand jury subpoena and documents obtained in response to the subpoena. Article 20.02 of the Code of Criminal Procedure provides for the secrecy of grand jury proceedings. This office has concluded that grand juries are not governmental bodies that are subject to chapter 552 of the Government Code, so that records that are within the actual or constructive possession of a grand jury are not subject to disclosure under chapter 552. See Open Records Decision No. 513 (1988). When an individual or entity acts at the direction of the grand jury as its agent, information prepared or collected by the agent is within the grand jury's constructive possession and is not subject to chapter 552. Id. at 3. Information that is not so held or maintained is subject to chapter 552 and may be withheld only if a specific exception to disclosure is applicable. Id. Thus, to the extent that the information at issue is in the custody of the department as agent of the grand jury, it is not subject to disclosure under chapter 552. Id. at 4. However, to the extent that this information is not in the custody of the department as agent of the grand jury, it is subject to disclosure under chapter 552. To that extent, we address your claims for this information, as well as for the remaining submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information protected by other statutes. Section 261.201(a) of the Family Code provides as follows:

- (a) The following information is confidential, is not subject to public release under Chapter 552, Government Code, and may be disclosed only for purposes consistent with this code and applicable federal or state law or under rules adopted by an investigating agency:
 - (1) a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made under this chapter and the identity of the person making the report; and
 - (2) except as otherwise provided in this section, the files, reports, records, communications, audiotapes, videotapes, and working papers used or developed in an investigation under this chapter or in providing services as a result of an investigation.

Thus, we find that the information is within the scope of section 261.201 of the Family Code. You have not indicated that the department has adopted a rule that would permit disclosure of this type of information. Therefore, we assume that no such regulation exists. Given that assumption, the submitted documents are confidential pursuant to section 261.201 of the Family Code. See Open Records Decision No. 440 at 2 (1986) (predecessor statute). Accordingly, the department must withhold the submitted information from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code as information made confidential by law, except as noted below.

We note that the submitted information includes arrest warrants and a supporting affidavit. Article 15.26 of the Code of Criminal Procedure states "[t]he arrest warrant, and any affidavit presented to the magistrate in support of the issuance of the warrant, is public information." Crim. Proc. Code art. 15.26. We further note that the submitted information contains a search warrant affidavit that is made public by statute if the search warrant has been executed. See Code Crim. Proc. art. 18.01(b).

Generally, information used or developed in an investigation of child abuse under chapter 261 of the Family Code must be withheld in its entirety under section 261.201. Thus, there is a conflict of laws between section 261.201 and articles 15.26 and 18.01(b). However, where information falls within both a general and a specific statutory provision, the specific provision prevails over the general. See Cuellar v. State, 521 S.W.2d 277 (Tex.Crim.App.1975) (under well-established rule of statutory construction, specific statutory provisions prevail over general ones); Open Records Decision Nos. 598 (1991), 583 (1990), 451 (1986). We find that the public availability provisions in articles 15.26 and

18.01(b) of the Code of Criminal Procedure are more specific than the general confidentiality provision in section 261.201. Thus, articles 15.26 and 18.01(b) more specifically govern the public availability of the submitted arrest warrant and supporting affidavit and search warrant affidavits and prevail over the more general confidentiality provision in section 261.201. See Lufkin v. City of Galveston, 63 Tex. 437 (1885) (when two sections of act apply, and one is general and other is specific, then specific controls); see also Gov't Code § 311.026 (where general statutory provision conflicts with specific provision, specific provision prevails as exception to general provision). Therefore, the department must release the submitted arrest warrants and supporting affidavit and search warrant affidavit to the requestor.

In summary, we conclude (1) the department must release the arrest warrants and supporting affidavit under article 15.26 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, (2) the department must release the submitted search warrant affidavit under article 18.01(b) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, and (3) to the extent that the grand jury subpoena and information obtained as a result of the subpoena is in the custody of the department as agent of the grand jury, it is not subject to disclosure under chapter 552. To the extent that the grand jury subpoena and information obtained as a result of the subpoena is not in the custody of the department as agent of the grand jury, we conclude the remaining information must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 261.201 of the Family Code. Based on these conclusions, we need not address your arguments against public disclosure.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. Id. § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. Id. § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. Id. § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the

governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Jennifer E. Berry

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

JEB/sdk

Ref: ID# 202503

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. Jeffrey Cureton

101 Summit Avenue, Suite 610

Fort Worth, Texas 76102

(w/o enclosures)